UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

| United States of A | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| v. |) | | | |
| ERICH ALVAREZ | | Case No: USM No: | DNCW598CR000282-017 | |
| | | | 13892-058 | |
| | July 9, 2001 | C. Engdanials | Windles III | |
| Date of Last Amended Judgment: |) | S. Frederick Winiker, III Defendant's Attorney | | |
| | | D CTOTIGUES S T TO | .01.1.0 | |
| Order Regarding | g Motion for Sentence Redu | iction Pursua | ant to 18 U.S.C. | . § 3582(c)(2) |
| | | | | |
| <u> </u> | defendant ¬ the Director of | | | |
| 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has | | | | |
| subsequently been lowered and ma | | | _ | = |
| § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 | | | | |
| and the sentencing factors set forth | i in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the | he extent that | they are applica | ble, |
| IT IS ORDERED that the motion | ı is: | | | |
| ■ DENIED. □ GRAN | NTED and the defendant's pre | eviously impo | sed sentence of | imprisonment (as reflected in |
| | gment issued) of | | | |
| | | | | |
| I. COURT DETERMINATION Original Offense Level: 39 | | Amended Of | | 20 |
| | | | tory Category: | 39 I |
| | | | iideline Range: | |
| Original Guideline Range. 240 | months | Amended Gt | ndenne Range. | 240 months |
| II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE □ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range. □ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range. □ The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline range. □ Other (explain): Since this offense did not involve crack cocaine, Amendment 750 is not applicable in this case. | | | | |
| III. ADDITIONAL COMMENT | ΓS | | | |
| Except as provided above, all prov | visions of the judgment dated | July 9, 200 | 01sh | all remain in effect. |
| IT IS SO ORDERED. | | | | |
| | | | 7 | |
| Order Date: February 24, 20 | 12 | Min | lay | I de les |
| Effective Date: February 24, 2012 | 2 | D. 1 | , | |
| (if different from or | | | L. Voorhees tates District Jud | ge |